

Hydrodynamic modeling and SWOT simulation in the estuarine and coastal environments

L. Chevalier, I. Turki, B. Laignel, F. Lyard, D. Desroches, D. Allain, F. Soulat, P. Dubois, G. Bracher



SWO

M2C, Rouen CLS, Toulouse CNES, Toulouse LEGOS, Toulouse



Input data

Boundary conditions

-tide gauges data in the upstream of the estuary

-data of the tide atlas (global tides *atlas FES2012*) associated to a surges global model (ex: Dynamic Atmospheric Correction, ERA-Interim) in the downstream of estuary

Bathymetry



Bathymetry based on: LIDAR data and MNT Vertical reference: MSS Resolution 3 m

0°30 0°30 49°45' 66482 nodes 49°40' 49°35' 49°30' 2km 500m 49° 1.5km 49°20' Decrease in 4km 25 m 49°15' 49°15' mesh size 49°10' - 0°30' ٥° 0°30' 1° Meshgrid of Seine estuary

The meshgrid is performed from the bathymetry and shoreline & estuary limit

Seine estuary: -meshgrid varies from 25 m in the estuary to 4 km in the Channel -high resolution meshgrid with 66482 nodes

Meshgrid

Zonation of bottom friction



Zonation of bottom friction





90 110 130 150 160 170 180 190 200 210 220 230 250 270 Amplitude (cm)

Erreur : • 2mm • 5mm • 1cm • 2cm 🔴 10cm

Error of M2 amplitude less than 10 cm for 17 tide-gauges





Amplitude (cm)

Erreur : • 2mm • 5mm • 1cm • 2cm 🔴 10cm

Error of M2 amplitude less than 10 cm for 17 tide-gauges



Temporal variability of water level and its amplitude are reproduce well along the estuary

Twelve different hydrodynamic contexts were calculated by T-UGOm according to tide and discharges (Neap/Spring tide, High/Low tide, High/Medium/Low discharges)



The water levels are spatially, highly variable in :

- different hydrodynamic conditions
- specific hydrodynamic condition (ex 1: 8 m of difference from upstream to downstream of estuary, (160km) & sometimes 1 m of difference along a section of 10 km)
 Importance of the high spatial resolution of SWOT to understand and model these energy transitions

=> T-UGOm outputs were used as input data in the HR simulator for the twelve cases



After application of geolocated correction method (Desroches), all of SWOT measurement points are in the channel & HR simulator shows a good restitution of the spatial variability of the water level along the estuary from the downstream to upstream (length: 160 km)

Ex 1 (high variability): simulator reproduces well the 8 m of difference of water level from upstream to downstream

Ex 8 (low variability): simulator reproduces well the 1 meter of difference of water level by section





Then this example provided after the geolocation correction illustrates a strong errors of SWOT measurements.





Then this example provided after the geolocation correction illustrates a strong errors of SWOT measurements.

For this reason a filtering process are needed which will be used in the next step.





Then this example provided after the geolocation correction illustrates a strong errors of SWOT measurements.

For this reason a filtering process are needed which will be used in the next step.

Then averages of SWOT measurements are performed for each section of 1 km and these averages were compared with T-UGOm values.





Differences between T-UGOm values and SWOT data are evaluated between 0,3 cm and 20 cm, except for one case where the difference is of 2m due to layover effect of the cliff.



Profile of water height with elevation (m) geolocation corrected **Profile of** filtered water height with elevation (m) geolocation corrected 0 -0.5 Average water -1.5 height of T-UGOm -2

and **SWOT** data

for 1km section





Differences between T-UGOm values and SWOT data are evaluated between 0,3 cm and 20 cm, except for one case where the difference is of 2m due to layover effect of the cliff.

After, filtered process, if sections contain - a little data, the difference between T-UGOm values and SWOT data for 1 km section will be large

Chevalier et al., in prep





Differences between T-UGOm values and SWOT data are evaluated between 0,3 cm and 20 cm, except for one case where the difference is of 2m due to layover effect of the cliff.

After, filtered process, if sections contain - a little data, the difference between T-UGOm values and SWOT data for 1 km section will be large - a lot of data, the difference between T-UGOm values and SWOT data for 1km section will be an average of 8cm

Chevalier et al., in prep

SWOT Science Team Meeting 2017, June 26-29



Hydrodynamic modeling and SWOT simulation in the estuarine and coastal environments

SOME ASPECTS OF HYDRODYNAMIC SWOT MEASUREMENTS IN COASTAL ZONES



SWO

M2C, Rouen CLS, Toulouse CNES, Toulouse LEGOS, Toulouse



I. Turki, L. Chevalier, B. Laignel, F. Lyard, D. Desroches, D. Allain, F. Soulat, P. Dubois, G. Bracher

MOTIVATIONS

SWO

DATA ASSIMILATION: IMPORTANT FOR COASTAL APPLICATIONS AS EXTREME EVENTS

In situ data provided by tide gauges mainly implemented in Confined Environments: measurements are not well sparse and couldn't represent the global variability of sea states.

The Need of Altimetry with important Spatial and Temporal coverage For Data Assimilation with the aim to:

- 1. Simulation of Extreme Events.
- 2. Data synthesis/reanalysis.

3. Seasonal-decadal forecasting in the context of Climate Change. How SWOT covers the hydrodynamic variability?

What is its performance?

SWOT TRACKS: CASE OF ENGLISH CHANNEL



ASCENDING TRACKS: D16 D05 D15 D04 DESCENDING TRACKS: D12 D01 D11 D21 D10 D20

SWOT

From P1 to P10: between three and five overpasses per repeat orbit

SPATIAL AND TEMPORAL COVERAGE:

A period of resolution: 45 days. 35 measurements in different time and space scales 2 Extreme Events: 12-13 JAN 2017; 2-5 FEV 2017

SWO



Number of measurements

SPATIAL COVERAGE: 12-13 JAN 2017

SEA LEVEL: SSH

SWOT



Storm evolution

SPATIAL COVERAGE: 3-5 FEB 2017

SEA LEVEL: SSH

SWOT



Storm evolution

The use of SWOT measurements from different tracks for assimilating the temporal evolution of extremes

VAVES ARE THE KEY OF HYDRODYNAMIC VARIABILITY: INTERACTION OF WAVES WITH SSH

EXEMPLES OF SEA STATES

SWOT



SPATIAL RESOLUTION OF SWOT MEASUREMENTS

Physical Processes in Coastal Zones

SW01

Vertical: 20 cm 60-80% of hydrodynamic variability (Carter et al., 1993)

Horizontal: <1 Km ??



SPATIAL RESOLUTION OF SWOT MEASUREMENTS



SWOT

Longitude



USE OF CNES/CLS SWOT SIMULATOR FOR COASTAL ZONES

• Set-up of a coastal simulation case (Normandie):

- Adaptation of the software interfaces (DEM, mask, radiometry)
- > Validation test with sea surface roughness model (no topography for now).
- LR mode tested, then HR mode

SWO



SWO



FURTHER SUGGESTIONS

Combining LR/HR is important since the vertical precision needed depends on the physical phenomena in coastal and estuarine zones.

Considering the Layover in different contexts: presence of vegetation, shoreline with cliff, wet sandy beaches.

Considering other wave spectra for SWOT simulator

Investigating the impact of IG waves in SWOT measurements

Comparison between modelled results, HR simulator, & in-situ data (tide gauges) in particular these provided by the Seine estuary Cal/val campaign produced the last week => Pascal Bonnefond presentation (29/06/2017)

