SWOT Raster: Update

Tamlin Pavelsky Shuai Zhang UNC Department of Geological Sciences



THE UNIVERSITY of NORTH CAROLINA at CHAPEL HILL



Current Status of the SWOT Raster Product (1)

- Raster will be produced systematically at 100 m and 250 m resolutions over all regions where the pixel cloud is collected and there is water.
- We will use a fixed grid with fixed boundaries, divided into tiles of ~120 x 120 km, for raster storage and distribution.
- Raster will be produced in netCDF format, with on-demand processing to geoTIFF, JPEG2000, etc. to be discussed with data distribution centers.
- Prototype software for producing the raster product has been developed at UNC (in python) and has been delivered to JPL for evaluation.

Identifying Information

- Cycle Number
- Tile ID
- Time
- Projection Information

Just received key doc from JPI

Data Fields

- Height (m)
- Height Error (m)
- Inundated Area (m² or %)
- Inundated Area error (m²)
- Cross-track distance (m)
- Average sigma0 (dB)
- Sigma0 uncertainty (dB)

Implemented

Not implemented, simple Not implemented, requires work

Current Raster Data Fields

<u>Flags</u>

- Data quality flag
- Low SNR
- Ice Cover
- Layover
- Geoid: geoid height above reference ellipsoid
- Geoid Slope
- Solid Earth tide model
- Pole tide model
- Orbit quality flag
- Instrument flags
- Wet tropospheric correction
- Dry tropospheric correction
- Ionospheric correction

Example Raster Height Data Product, Severn River Flood



100 m resolution UTM Rasters Heights measured as weighted average of pixel cloud heights

Example Raster Inundation Data Product, Severn River Flood



100 m resolution UTM Rasters

Inundation Extent measured as % area of interior water, water near land (note: might need to add land near water)

Projection Options

Problem: any reprojection of a raster product will require resampling, which will introduce errors

Latitude/Longitude

- + Uniform global grid system, simple to understand
- Grid cells vary in size, resulting in changing error characteristics and problems calculating area.

UTM or Similar Equal Area

- + Equal area pixels, works very well at local scales, matches up with planned inundation extent product from NISAR.
- Each tile must be assigned a UTM zone, which might require reprojection for large areas.

Recommendation: baseline UTM, make Lat/Lon on demand

Plan Going Forward

- Test whether inundation extent is more robust when including land near water (at least partially).
- Implement agreed-upon projection and gridding strategy
- Implement additional variables as example pixel cloud data and suitable algorithms become available
- Test prototypes on a wide range of simulator output
 - Need input of existing simulator cases from ADT/ST (contact Shuai Zhang, zshuai@email.unc.edu)
 - Plan to develop additional cases
- Incorporate feedback from JPL, eventually from CNES, ADT, and interested ST parties.