

National Aeronautics and Space Administration

Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California







# Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) Mission

SWOT Science Team Meeting June 17-20, 2019

# The Pixel Cloud Product: Format, Contents and Status

Brent Williams Pixel Cloud Algorithm Developer

Copyright 2019, California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged



### **Intended Users**

#### PIXC and PIXCVec are expert products, intended users are:

- Hydrologists interested in studying fine-scale details in a local region
  - Higher spatial resolution, but noisier than vector products
  - Users who want to use their own customized algorithms for height reconstruction and geolocation
- Users interested in low level data for calibration/validation and downstream algorithm development
- Possibly other applications around inland water

SWO

- Lowest level of data available that is geolocated
- Studies like Ka-band scattering, rain, ice/snow, soil moisture, urban sprawl, inland water body wind vector/wave height estimation etc...
- The "raster" product can also serve most/many hydrology users that need finer scale measurements than the vector product, but don't need detail and additional complexity of the pixel cloud

# L2\_HR\_PIXC Format

NetCDF format with global attributes and 3 groups

SWOT

- Pixel Cloud (PIXC) group (1D list of kept 2D rare radar-grid pixels)
- TVP group (1D at SLC posting, with larger extent)
  - Sensor information (e.g., spacecraft position, velocity, attitude)
- Noise group (1D at SLC posting, with SLC extent)



1

## **Pixel Cloud Example**



# **Pixel Cloud Group**

- Multiple levels of smoothing
  - Rare and Medium layers on same 'rare' slant-plane grid
  - Well-done layer in PIXCVec product (not PIXC), but on same grid
  - Rare and medium variables are in same group with no explicit tags indicating rare or medium



Time or along-track (azimuth) index

# **Pixel Cloud Group: Rare Layer**

- Rare variables (Note there is no geolocation or height)
  - Grid related items

- Azimuth and range index
- Interferometric measurements
  - Interferogram, 2 channel powers, coherent power)
  - Number of rare looks
- Radiometric calibration terms
  - X-factor for 2 channels
- Water detection/flag items
  - Classification, water fraction, detection rates, water fraction uncertainty
  - Dark/bright land flags, prior water probability
  - Layover impact
- Philosophy of rare layer is to preserve fine scale information
  - e.g., keep everything needed to redo geolocation and recompute much of the medium layer from rare with offline expert/experimental processing

### **Examples (Rare)**

SWOT 🥿



# **Pixel Cloud Group: Medium Layer**

#### Medium variables

- Geolocation related variables
  - Longitude, latitude, height (wrt ref ellipsoid, not geoid like vector prod.)
  - Cross-track position, pixel area (on ground), incidence angle
- Quality flag

- Variables needed to compute geolocation/height uncertainty
  - Phase noise standard deviation
  - Geolocation/height sensitivities to phase, roll, baseline, and range
  - Sensitivity of pixel area to height
- Illumination time for each pixel
- Phase unwrapping region mask
- Instrument and geophysical corrections
  - Effective height corrections corresponding to instrument and media delay (wet/dry tropo., iono.) corrections that were applied before geolocation (as range/phase corrections)
  - Other geophysical height references that are not applied: geoid, and Earth tides (solid Earth, load, pole tides)
    - Reported but not applied
  - Geophysical surface type flag

### **Examples (Medium)**

SWOT 🚬





- Only the medium layer is geolocated/height reconstructed
- Because of the adaptive averaging, the noise in the medium pixels can be correlated from pixel to pixel
- Uncertainties after aggregation do not simply fall off as 1/sqrt(N)
- PIXC has all the information needed to
  - Optimally aggregate to nodes/lakes/raster bins
  - Estimate height and area uncertainties of the aggregates
    - For the noise- and algorithmic-related components
    - Not necessarily for the systematic components due to errors in the cross-over corrections, or phenomenological uncertainties (existence of layover) etc.
- Optimal aggregation and uncertainty estimation from quantities in the pixel cloud has been implemented and is currently being tested and validated in the context of the rivertile processor

### Pixel-wise Height Uncertainties (Random Component)

- Height/lat/lon uncertainties coupled
- 1-sigma error bars are slanted lines in the 3D plots
- Computed by phase noise std x |sensitivities|
- Optimal height aggregation is inverse variance weighting using the height uncertainty

#### (plots are in slant-plane)







Copyright 2019, California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

## **Pixel-wise Area Uncertainties**

Estimate of pixel area uncertainty given by sensitivity to height and an estimate of DEM height uncertainty (~10m)

This effect is generally negligible





- Estimate of water area of a given pixel needs to incorporate detection errors and/or water fraction uncertainty etc...
  - Majority of error in water area estimates is due to these



# **PIXC and PIXCVec: 2 Standard Products**

### L2\_HR\_PIXC

- Main PIXC product with1-D list of geolocated radar image grid pixels around water (detected and prior)
- Rare-level interferogram information (4 effective looks)
- Medium-level (~50 looks) geolocated lat/lon/heights and uncertainty estimates
- Water detection and flagging results
- Calibration and sensor info.

SWOT

 Height references and corrections (included but not applied)



### L2\_HR\_PIXCVec

- Ancillary/overlay product contains info. not available until after river and lake vector level processing
- IDs for each pixel that was attributed to any feature (node, reach, lake, unknown ...)
- Height constrained geolocation using aggregated heights at the water feature level (i.e., lat/lon/height for "well-done" level of smoothing)
- Available only after river and lake processors are run (e.g., smooth whole lake to single height)



# **Phase Unwrapping**



- Interferometric phase is precise measure of difference in range between
  point on ground and two radar antennas separated by known baseline
- Phase can only determined modulo  $2\pi$  radians

- Multiple points in space have same range and interferometric phase; target location is ambiguous
- Target location is geolocated incorrectly if incorrect phase ambiguity is assumed

# **Phase Unwrapping Errors**

Phase is only measured to within a 2-pi ambiguity

Spatially unwrapped over regions

SWO

- For each region, alternative sources are needed to choose which multiple of 2-pi
  - Reference DEM (amb. height ~10m to 60m, near- to far- swath)
  - Prior water mask (1-amb error causes ~750m shift in cross-track location)
- Phase unwrapping errors are rare, but when they happen they can significantly mess up large areas of otherwise good data
  - Whole region is shifted in cross-track and in height (several meters height error and several hundred meters location error)



### Status

- PDD in revision based on Science Team reviewer feedback
- ATBD drafted, under internal review among ADT subgroup
  - Most algorithms baselined, but many are likely to be revised
    - Water detection fairly stable only minor revisions expected
    - Actively working on phase unwrapping, dark water flagging
    - Bright land flagging algorithm development is starting up
    - Geophysical corrections and phase screen corrections not yet implemented
- Example data products will be made available

SWOT

- Plan to distribute a PIXC sample product consistent with the river sample products when they are ready

## Backup (from 2018 SWOT Science Team)

SWOT

•

# **Phase Unwrapping Effects and Algorithms**

Many algorithms exist for spatial unwrapping to get regions of pixels that are unwrapped correctly relative to each but not absolutely

SWOT

•

- Absolute ambiguity resolution on region basis is unique challenge for SWOT
  - Small ambiguity heights require high vertical accuracy for reference DEM
  - Low coherence over land implies many small regions (harder than few large regions)
  - SWOT algorithm attempts to match measured height to reference DEM and horizontal geolocation to prior water mask
  - Unwrapping error contributors:
    - Reference DEM error
    - Prior water mask error
    - Change in actual water body height, shape, size, or location
    - SWOT height measurement or water detection error



# **AirSWOT Phase Unwrapping Error Example**



AirSWOT Line 20150615005432 (Near Yukon River, Alaska)

SWOT

Grayscale represents radar reflectivity Color represents height (shown with 30 m wrap)

Note: Unwrapping errors will cause larger cross-track shifts (~750 m) and smaller slope errors (~1 m/km) for SWOT than for AirSWOT

Copyright 2019, California Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

**Rare Interferogram** 

SWOT

SLC images are interfered and multi-looked (~spatially averaged)



### Lake Hickory, North Carolina

### **Water Detection**

- Power threshold-based binary detection with MRF spatial regularization
- Fractional water estimation

- Dark water flagging base on prior water mask
- Edges/boundaries flagged separately









### **Medium Interferogram**

SWOT

Interferogram adaptively multi-looked using detected classes



# **Phase Unwrapping**

Spatial phase unwrapping over connected regions

SWOT

Ambiguity resolution of each region (using prior DEM and water mask)



### Geolocation

 Absolute phase, range, and doppler (azimuth) converted to lat, lon, height above WGS84 ellipsoid



SWOT





ifornia Institute of Technology. Government sponsorship acknowledged

## **Prune and Create Pixel Cloud**

- Exclude pixels not near water (or prior pruning mask)
- Reorder to 1-D list

SWOT

• Include (but don't apply) height corrections, e.g., geoid, Earth tide...

