Recent progress in global (and regional) hydrodynamical internal tide and gravity wave modeling



Brian K. Arbic, University of Michigan SWOT Science Team Meeting 27 June 2022

Thanks to a very long list of collaborators, and to DOE for additional funding.









## **AVAILABLE NOW**

A new book from GODAE OceanView



New Frontiers in Operational Oceanography

Edited by Eric P. Chassignet, Ananda Pascual, Joaquin Tintoré, and Jacques Verron



The implementation of operational oceanography in the past 15 years has provided mary societal benefits and has led to many countries adopting a formal roadmap for providing ocean forecasts. Continuing the tradition of two very successful international summer schools held in France in 2004 (Chassignet and Verron, 2006) and in Australia in 2010 (Schiller and Brassington, 2011), a third international school that focused on frontier research in operational oceanography was held in Migrora in 2017.

In the coming years, graduate students and young scientists will be challenged by many new observations (SWOT, Sentinel, AUVs, floats, etc.), complex high-resolution numerical models and data assimilation (high solution, predictability, uncertainty, changing computing platforms, etc.), and the need to work on many scales (open oceanshelf interactions, coupled ocean-iceatmosphere, biogeochemistry, etc.). The latter school brought together senior experts and young researchers (pre- and post-doctorate) from across the world and exposed them to the latest research in oceanography specifically how it will impact operational oceanography. This book is a compilation of the lectures presented at the school and presents a summary of the current state-ofthe-art in operational oceanography research.

#### Available at www.godae-oceanview.org and amazon.com

#### CHAPTER 13

#### A Primer on Global Internal Tide and Internal Gravity Wave Continuum Modeling in HYCOM and MITgcm

Brian K. Arbic<sup>1,2</sup>, Matthew H. Alford<sup>9</sup>, Joseph K. Ansong<sup>1,4</sup>, Maarten C. Buijsman<sup>1</sup>, Robert B. Ciotti<sup>1</sup>, J. Thomas Farra<sup>1</sup>, Robert W. Hallberg<sup>4</sup>, Christopher E. Henze<sup>1</sup>, Christopher N. Hul<sup>9</sup>, Conrad A. Luccke<sup>1,2</sup>, Dimitri Menemenlis<sup>10</sup>, E. Joseph Merzer<sup>11</sup>, Mathe Müller<sup>1</sup>, Arin D. Nelson<sup>1</sup>, Bron C. Nelson<sup>1</sup>, Hans E. Ngodock<sup>11</sup>, Rui M. Ponte<sup>13</sup>, James G. Richman<sup>14</sup>, Anna C. Savage<sup>14</sup>, Robert B. Scott<sup>15</sup>, Jay F. Shritve<sup>11</sup>, Rui M. Ponte<sup>13</sup>, James G. Richman<sup>14</sup>, Anna C. Savage<sup>14</sup>, Robert B. Scott<sup>15</sup>, Jay F. Shritve<sup>11</sup>, Rui M. Zonte<sup>13</sup>, Jancent Souopgul, 'Patrick G. Timko<sup>11</sup>, 'Alan J. Wallcräft<sup>1</sup>, Lucz Samuklo<sup>14</sup>, and Zhongxiang Zhao<sup>17</sup>

"University of Michigan. Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA: "Currently on subhatical al Institut des Géosciences de L'Environnament (IGE), Grenoble, France, and Laboratoris des Etudes en Géophysique et Océanorgaphie Spatiale (UEGO), Toulouse, France, 'University of California Sun Diego, La Jolia, California, USA: "University of Ghana, Acera, Ghana: "University of Souhern Mississippi, Stemit Space Center, Missistippi, USA: "MAK Mane Stexarch Criter, Monitarity Proc. California, USA: "Moch Hole Coeurographic Institution, Wood: Hole, Marachusetti, USA; "Geophysical Flaid Dynamics Laboratory/NOAA, Princeson, New Jersy, USA: "Massachusetti Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachuseux, USA: "Mex. New Jersy, USA: "Massachusetti Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachuseux, USA: "Mex.

New Jersey, USA: "Massachusetti Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetti, USA: "Net Propulsian Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadaea, California, USA: "Ward Research Laboratory, Stemit Space Center, Missistipp (USA; "Norwegian Meteorological Institute, Oslo, Norway; "Manospheric and Environmental Research, Lezington, Massachusetti, USA: "Florida State University, Talahasase, Florida, USA: "Université de Bretagoe Occidental, Brets, Pance," (Plorinsta) State Chiversity of Masha Fairbanks, Fairbanks, Alaka, USA: "University of Mashington, Saatik, Washington, USA; +Now at: Weish Lacator, Royal Metorological Science, UK

In recent years, high-resolution ("eddying") global three-dimensional ocean general circulation models have begun to include astronomical tidal forcing alongside atmospheric forcing. Such models can carry an internal tide field with a realistic amount of nonstationarity, and an internal gravity wave continuum spectrum that compares more closely with observations as model resolution increases. Global internal tide and gravity wave models are important for understanding the three-dimensional geography of ocean mixing for operational oceanography, and for simulating and interpreting satellite altimeter observations. Here we describe the most important technical details behind such models, including atmospheric forcins bathymetry, astronomical tidal forcing, self-attraction and loading, quadratic bottom boundary layer drag parameterized topographic internal wave drag, shallow-water tidal equations, and a brief summary of the theory of linear internal gravity waves. We focus on simulations run with two models, the HTbrid Coordinate Ocean Model (HYCOM) and the Massachusetts Institute of Technology general circulation model (MITgcm). We compare the modeled internal tides and internal gravity wave continuum to satellite altimeter observations, moored observational records, and the predictions of the Garrett-Munk (1975) internal gravity wave continuum spectrum. We briefly examine specific topics of interest, such as tidal energetics, internal tide nonstationarity, and the role of nonlinearities in generating the modeled internal gravity wave continuum. We also describe our first attempts at using a Kalman filter to improve the accuracy of tides embedded within a general circulation model. We discuss the challenges and opportunities of modeling stationary internal tides, non-stationary internal tides, and the internal gravity wave continuum spectrum for satellite altimetry and other applications.

Arbic, B.K., et al., 2018: A primer on global internal tide and internal gravity wave continuum modeling in HYCOM and MTIgens. In *New Frontiers in Operational Oceanography*, E. Classignet, A. Pascual, J. Tintoré, and J. Verron, Eds., GODAE Oceashives, 907-920, doi:10.1125/govc2018.ch13.

### Arbic et al. (2018) book chapter

### Arbic 2022 in-press review article



Note: Low-resolution images were used to create this PDF. The original images will be used in the final composition.

0079-6611/© 20XX

# Outline

Internal tide correction studies using HYCOM

Boundary forcing regional models with global internal wave models

Selected model-observational comparisons

Proposed work on NOPP project

Internal tide correction studies using HYCOM

- In theory, the Navy operational global HYCOM simulations have all the necessary ingredients to phase-predict stationary and non-stationary internal tides
  - Tidal forcing yields stationary internal tides which then interact with eddies
  - Assimilation of nadir altimetry data improves stratification and puts eddies in the correct locations

Internal tide correction studies using HYCOM

- Arin Nelson Wednesday oceanography lightning talk: Straight-up comparison of stationary tides in HYCOM vs. altimetry
- Ritabrata Thakur US HR modeling talk: Use EOF/PCA technique of Egbert and Erofeeva 2021, but applied globally
- Hann Wang last talk of this session: Apply machine learning to HYCOM solutions

Boundary forcing regional models with global internal wave models

- Global HYCOM coupled to ROMS simulation of California Current:
  - Oladeji Siyanbola talk in this session: carefully setting up the boundary conditions
  - Audrey Delpach talk in US HR modeling session: internal wave interactions with eddies and the atmosphere
- Global MITgcm coupled to a regional MITgcm patch near Hawai'i
  - Ritabrata Thakur talk in US HR modeling session: turning off KPP background yields more accurate vertical structure in internal wave field
  - Kayhan Momeni Wednesday oceanography lightning talk: KPP statistics and vertical profiles of diffusivity
  - These latter two studies build upon:
    - Nelson et al. (2020): internal wave spectrum fills out with higher resolution

Improved IGW frequency spectra in a regional model forced by the global MITgcm simulation (Nelson et al., 2020)

• Mazloff et al.: w/o internal tide boundary conditions, a regional model has an insufficiently energetic IGW spectrum

• This work: with internal tide boundary conditions + increase in resolution, IGW continuum energy goes up



## Selected modelobservational comparisons

- Comparison of HYCOM and MITgcm vs. ~2000 moored records of temperature and velocity: Luecke et al. 2020
- Comparison of HYCOM and MITgcm vs. undrogued and drogued drifters: Arbic et al. in-revision
  - Accurate near-inertial band requires frequent atmospheric forcing.
  - Accuracy of modeled internal tides depends on damping parameterizations.
- Intercomparison of several hydrodynamical global internal tide models with altimetry (Ansong et al. in preparation)
  - Models without extra damping (e.g., parameterized topographic wave drag) tend to have internal tide fields that are too large
- See also many other model-observational comparison studies:
  - Shriver et al. (2012), Timko et al. (2012, 2013), Ansong et al. (2015, 2017), Buijsman et al. (2016, 2020), + others

## Proposals for NOPP call

Four related proposals to current NOPP call aim to improve global internal wave models and to test them with arrays of insitu instruments (and altimetry)