





Surface Water and Ocean Topography (SWOT) Mission

September 2023

**CNES**: <u>Matthias Raynal</u>, G. Dibarboure, A. Bohe, F. Bignalet-Cazalet, N. Picot

**CLS**: P. Prandi, E. Cadier, F. Nencioli, F. Briol, A. Delepoulle, B. Flamant, M. Denneulin

Fluctus: B. Picard

DATLAS: C. Ubelmann JPL ADT team

SWOT Phase E1 Results 21-d orbit early CalVal results over Ocean



## Some Ocean CalVal results from 1D orbit were presented:

- ✓ On Tuesday by A. Bohe for KaRIn L2 LR products
- ✓ On Wednesday by F. Bignalet-Cazalet on SWOT-nadir IGDR products
- Overview of early results from 21d orbit and assessment of the continuity with the CalVal orbit.: Do we see any specific changes in terms of data quality ?

# Two different orbits, different kind of analyses

- ✓ 1D orbit analyses essentially based on the day to day signal evolution
- ✓ 21D orbit provides a much complete geographical sampling of the open ocean and ease the regional CalVal analyses.



# Nadir Altimeter L2 products (L2\_NALT) for science orbit

- OGDR (real-time latency) → Available on flow
  - OPR "reduced" → SSHA and limited among of variables
  - OPN "native" → all variables @ 1 Hz and 20 Hz
- IGDR (short time critical) → Available on flow
  - IPR "reduced"
  - IPN "native"
  - IPS "sensor" → also include waveforms
- GDR (no time critical)  $\rightarrow$  Available later in 2023

### **SWOT nadir**

# Nadir preliminary performance over ocean in science orbit

• Very good thermal and stability behavior of POS-3C

- All IGDR metrics are very close to IGDR in Cal/Val orbits
  - STD SLA = 9,9 cm
  - STD (SWOT nadir SLA DUACS SLA) = 4,4 cm
  - SWOT/SWOT Xovers STD = 5,6 cm
  - SWOT/S6 LR Xovers STD = 5,1 cm



### SWOT/SWOT Xovers STD



### **SWOT** nadir

# Nadir preliminary performance over hydro targets in science orbit

• POS-3C is in Close-Loop acquisition mode.

New DEM for science orbit is upload, it will be activated in coming days.

- Aiready excellent tracking performances observed in CL with more 70% of successful acquisitions over the virtual stations defined.
- Will significantly be improved with OL acquisitions



Cycle N° 427 (Cal/Val phase: 10/02/2023) % **OK: 61,4** 



Cycle N° 1 (Science phase) % OK: 71,3

### **Editing global results over 21d orbit**

### Tips:

- Simplest way to select valid measurements is to use ssha\_karin(\_2)\_qual == 0
- However some large transects might be edited on « suspect\_model\_swh\_ssb\_used » criteria, raised when nadir data is missing or corrupted.
- → Solution: also includes this byte in the selection.
- Global percentage of valid data is excellent ~ 96% → close to Jason altimeters metrics (~97%).
- Little effect of SWH → KaRIn signal behaves very well over strong sea states,
- Most of the pixels edited are located in heavy rain areas (Ka-band is more rain sensitive than Ku band)
  - When SSHA is impacted KaRIn measurements are generally correctly flagged in Ssha\_karin\_2\_qual

### Further work on the editing strategy is ongoing

- Assess the data quality of measurements flagged as suspect
- ✓ Use of statistical method



### **Rain flagging (reminder)**



### KaRIn & SWOT nadir SWH analysis



• Improvements expected with future PGE delivery (October) : discussed / presented by A. Bohe in W&W WG

### KaRIn & SWOT nadir sigma0 / Wind speed



### KaRIn & SWOT nadir sigma0 / Wind speed

Expected wider distribution for Ka band Similar behaviour from 1d to 21d orbit  $\bullet$ 





Pre-launch GMF not accurate enough.

0

Improvements expected with future PGE delivery (October) : presented by A. Bohe in W&W WG

cnes

### **AMR** analyses

- The two sides are well intercalibrated (below 1 K of biases on the 3 channels)
- → very small systematic bias on the difference of the WTC (about 0.2 cm)

- For about 90% of the AMR-C measurements, no cross-track artificial slope expected for the KaRIn interpolated WTC
- For about 10% of the open ocean measurements (all sky), a cloudy situation is observed on 1 side only
  investigation over the crosstrack slope in these specific cases

Nb records =	% of records	DWTC: mean	DWTC: stdev
17 910 524			
Side 1 only is	1.6 %	-0.2 cm	1.4 cm
contaminated			
Side 2 only is	1.7 %	-0.1 cm	1.6 cm
contaminated			

Nb records = 10 424 087	% of records	$\Delta$ WTC: mean	∆WTC: stdev
Both sides are clear sky	46.5 %	-0.2 cm	1.4 cm
Both sides are cloudy	34.4 %	-0.2 cm	1.6 cm
Side 1 only has LWP > 0	8.1 %	-1.1 cm	1.6 cm
Side 2 only has LWP > 0	11 %	+0.7 cm	1.4 cm
Side 1 only has LWP > 0.01	6.6 %	-1.3 cm	1.7 cm
Side 2 only has LWP > 0.01	8.8 %	+0.8 cm	1.5 cm
Side 1 only has LWP > 0.1	1.8 %	-2.3 cm	2.0 cm
Side 2 only has LWP > 0.1	2.2 %	+1.7 cm	1.9 cm

### **AMR** analyses



- Very small cross-track bias bias compared to ECMWF & GMI
- Low standard deviation of differences, expected « W » shape observed, with slightly lower errors on the left swath.
- (not shown) SSHA error reduction at KaRIn/KaRIn crossover ~-1.4 cm<sup>2</sup> (-1.6 cm<sup>2</sup> for Sentinel-6 MF). To be consolidated with longer time series

### **AMR** analyses

- Good agreement between the 3 variables above 100 km wavelengths (model has lower energy).
- GMI & ECMWF content at HF is lower : explained by the products spatial resolution
- Comparison between SWOT WTC vs GMI shows very encouraging results:
  - consistent with / below requirements allocation for wavenumbers > 100 km
  - Below hundred of km: SWOT WTC missed a part of the WTC signal (interpolation + beam resolution).
    The GMI reference is too smooth to quantify this omission error.
  - Need higher spatial resolution reference.



### 13 | @ cnes

cnes

### **KaRIn SSHA** analysis



### • **REMINDER**:

- ✓ L2 SSHA long wavelengths are affected by « systematic errors » (e.g. from roll error knowledge)
- ✓ The « crossover » correction will remove most of this error (see G. Dibarboure presentation)
- ✓ Below1000 km, these systematic errors are much smaller than the oceanic signal

### **KaRIn SSHA** analysis

- Improved performances were expected for 21d orbit (more crossovers, better geographical sampling)
- Corrected SSHA variance is higher than expected:
  - The V4.2 XoverCal is tuned for 1d orbit (implemented in June 2023).
  - Some limitations were found (see G. Dibarboure dedicated presentation)
- ➔ Correction and deployment of XoverCal V4.3 in October.



### KaRIn SSHA analysis: High pass filtered SSHA

KaRIn / SWOT nadir « HF » (below 1000km) SSHA differences

# Along-track illustration (no

Far range selection Geographical binning



-0.02

Near range selection Geographical binning



### **SSHA PSD**

- Very similar spectral behaviour from 1day orbit to 21day orbit
  - Ocean & wave conditions sampled are not exactly similar.
- Small change observed at High frequencies comparing far,near and middle range psds
  - Possibly explained by the altitude change
  - ✓ Sampling area
  - Sea states conditions



• HF content estimated from Unsmoothed SSHA (plateau fit & integral)

# Slight change in cross-track direction near and far range are more aligned.

 $\checkmark$  To be assessed with better description of sea state conditions (wave period,



cnes

- Poseidon-3C and KaRIn behave very well and similarly on both CalVal & Science Orbits
- An important work has been achieved to pre-validate the KaRIn products, analyse the KaRIn topography signal. Results presented are just a global overview.

# Much remains to be done and it will require

- Investigations performed over the two orbit phases
- The Science Team expertise
- Extensive use of nadir constellation / other sensors / models / insitu measurement ...