# **SWOT HR Coverage Update**

Tamlin Pavelsky, Sarah Gille, Claire Pottier

## **HR Processing/Downlink Prioritization**

- SWOT HR data processing is quite resource intensive.
  - In part due to larger area of water observed by SWOT compared to prelaunch expectations
  - There may be a delay in processing some SWOT data that is downlinked due to capacity limitations. All data are processed during reprocessing campaigns
- SWOT downlink capabilities are potentially vulnerable to disruption
  - E.g., disasters such as forest fires
- We need a prioritization of SWOT HR data coverage for two purposes:
  - To prioritize which areas are downlinked in the event that downlink capacity decreases in the future
  - To prioritize which areas are processed rapidly if sufficient resources are unavailable to process all data as it is downlinked.

## **1 Day Fast Sampling Orbit HR Coverage**



## **Planned Science Orbit HR Coverage**





#### Significant Coastal Ocean Data in Current HR Coverage

Note: HR data over the ocean should be viewed as an expert-only product for experimentation. Due to presumming it may be less accurate than LR data at the same resolution.



## HR Coverage Aug. 10-Aug. 21 (due to Inuvik Outage)



## HR Coverage Aug. 21-~Sept. 15 (due to Inuvik Outage)



### HR Prioritization Mask (1st step: Hydrosheds L2 basins)



### Are HR data useful in the open ocean?

- A priori the answer is "no" for SSH, but possibly for phenomenology studies ...
- Recommendation to start with what we have: opportunity for experts to test existing HR data from one-day repeat and near-coastal areas along with 250-m resolution LR data (especially in areas where additional data e.g. HFR or adopt-a-crossover campaigns are also available)
- Identify:
  - Whether HR data are actually useful over the ocean (eg, wave, sea-ice phenomenology...)
  - If so, priorities in the event that downlink capabilities become available



Coastal continuum (France): Trace 17 (Day 1)

### Reminder: What was the open ocean HR plan, pre-launch (2017)?

- Prioritized clear measurement targets for first 12 months
- Proposed a strawman plan for subsequent years
- Suggested a call for opportunity every 6 months to revise the ocean patches mask for science studies ...
  - $\circ$  coastal, sea-ice, coral reefs,
  - sub-mesoscale in-situ campaigns,
  - new and innovative opportunistic science (e.g., Tropical Instability Waves, the Gulf Stream, the Kuroshio Extension, and the Agulhas Retroflection region, Eastern boundary currents),
  - => island wake regions (e.g., downstream of the Galapagos, Kerguelen)

## Straw plan from 2017

4 open ocean patches of 120 km x 120 km, 3 years, seasonally varying





#### Nominal SWOT HR Coverage

- Active March-November
- Does not include coverage over sea ice



#### Seasonal SWOT HR Coverage

- Active Dec-Feb
- Removes part of Canadian archipelago to collect data over sea ice
- Approximately 100,000 km<sup>2</sup>
- Coverage area can be moved elsewhere as desired by the community.

Are we happy with this overall approach?

Is the currently identified area a good one to keep in the mask?

## **Next Steps**

- Solicit feedback from the Science Team on L2 basin prioritization
  - May be challenging due to competing priorities
- Catalog requests from the Science Team for higher prioritization for particular SWOT tiles that might be particularly important
  - e.g. tiles covering specific field campaigns
  - Relatively easy due to small changes relative to overall number of SWOT tiles.



Download Shapefile of L2 Basins with Prioritization in field SWOT\_Cat

Email Tamlin Pavelsky with feedback: pavelsky@unc.edu