

Mesoscale dynamics in the Southern Ocean: perspectives for SWOT

Elisa Carli⁽¹⁾, Rosemary Morrow⁽¹⁾, Lia Siegelman⁽²⁾, Oscar Vergara⁽³⁾ (1) LEGOS Toulouse (FR) (2) SIO, San Diego (USA) (3) CLS, Toulouse (FR) elisa.carli@univ-tlse3.fr

1 - RESEARCH QUESTION AND OBJECTIVES

- **Observability with SWOT**, after reduction of processing and instrumental and geophysical noise ► Diagnostic of small scale variability, not possible with conventional altimetry
- Understand if small scale processes (15 to 150 km wavelength) increase or compensate the **mesoscale eddy** fluxes observable nowadays (>150



3 - VERTICAL RECONSTRUCTION

- Surface Quasi-Geostrophic (SQG) theory: reconstruct vertical vorticity, velocity (w) and heat fluxes in the ocean interior from SSH [3][4]. Results **below the mixed layer** (ML) in the Southern Oeean south of Tasmania
- First on **COAS coupled** ocean-atmosphere model, then on **SWOT real data**
- ► Hypothesis of **uniform potential vorticity** (PV) on the full domain
- **Optimized stratification** (N2) in the region and season
- COAS w is filtered at 30 km to remove small scale noise





Reults refer to the **Southern Ocean** region south of Tasmania where the SWOT CalVal ACC-SMST campaign will take place. We show **daily averaged** variables (normalized vorticity, vertical velocity from SSH) on March 29th 2020. In this season and region the ML has depth of about 100 m.

km) with nadir altimetry



SWOT real fast sampling phase data

2 – EDDY DIAGNOSTICS

- 2D diagnostics in the **Agulhas region** [1]
- ▶ What dynamics will **SWOT** be able to observe **compared to traditional altimetry**?

$$EKE = \frac{1}{2} (u'^2 + v'^2) \qquad S_g = \sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial u_g}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v_g}{\partial y}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{\partial v_g}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u_g}{\partial y}\right)^2}$$

Average EKE (left) and strain rate std (right) for LLC10 (a, b), pseudo-DUACS product (c, d), and the **residuals** small scales (e, f). Small scales add energy on the mean Agulhas Current path, and most of the strain variability



>₋₅₆

Top: COAS (a) and SQG reconstructed (b) normalized vorticity at 299 m depth.

Bottom: COAS (c) and SQG reconstructed (d) **vertical velocity** at 299 m depth.

SQG is able to reconstruct the vorticity velocity mesoscale vertical structures below the ML with the correct shape and position in space, and correct amplited. Submesoscale structures are smoothed with depth (see spectra).

Correlation between the modelled and the SQG-reconstructed fileds is over 0.9 for the vorticity and over 0.6 for the vertical velocity

> ► Spectra of COAS and SQG non-filtered w fields at different depths show comparable levels of energy down to 30-40 km



SWOT facts

first wide-swath 2D altimetry mission, using SAR-Interferometry globally in Ka-Band

NASA/CNES mission

https://swot.jpl.nasa.gov/

Launch date December 16th 2022



- Diagnostics on **SWOT swaths & observability** [2]
- ► How does **KaRIn noise** influence observations?
- What wavelengths can we observe when we reproduce diagnostics on SWOT's swaths before and after noise mitigation?

SSH (left), EKE (centre) and strain (right) for the non-noisy (top), noisy field (centre), and after **noise mitigation** (bottom). The SSH is a snapshot of pass 5, cycle 112, on January 1st 2012. EKE and strain refer are averaged over three months, simulating the CalVal scenario (January - March 2012). After noise mitigation scales of ~20 km can be observed





1 or 21 days revisit time Depending on the mission phase 10^{-2} 10^{-1} wavenumber (cpkm)

Correlation (a) is consistent below the ML is consistent **up to 1000 m**

The **spectra** (b) at different depths show that SQG is able to reproduce the energy in the mesoscale down to 30 km, showing the great potential of the method for SWOT, which will have a similar observability in the Southern Ocean [1][5]

5 - FUTURE WORK

Reproduce the SQG w reconstruction over **SWOT swaths** with fast sampling phase 1-day data

Study the **vertical and horizontal heat fluxes dynamics** in the region and the potential of using SQG to reconstruct them

- ► With **COAS** coupled ocean-atmosphere model
- ► With **SWOT** 1-day real data
- Validate the method with the use of **in-situ data from SURVOSTRAL** 30 years long time-series data and data from **SWOT CalVal** campaign



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is co-funded by the CNES and the CLS in Toulouse, France, over the period Jan 2022 – Jan 2025.

REFERENCES

[1] Carli, E., Morrow, R., Vergara, O., Chevrier, R., and Renault, L.: Ocean 2D eddy energy fluxes from small mesoscale processes with SWOT, EGUsphere [preprint], https://doi.org/10.5194/egusphere-2023-1124, 2023 [2] A. Tréboutte, E. Carli, M. Ballarotta, B. Carpentier, Y. Faugère, and G. Dibarboure, "KaRIn Noise Reduction Using a Convolutional Neural Network for the SWOT Ocean Products," Remote Sensing, vol. 15, no. 8, Art. no. 8, Jan. 2023, doi: 10.3390/rs15082183

[3] P. Klein et al., "Diagnosis of vertical velocities in the upper ocean from high resolution sea surface height," Geophysical Research Letters, vol. 36, no. 12, 2009, doi: 10.1029/2009GL038359.

[4] J. Wang, et al., "Reconstructing the Ocean's Interior from Surface Data," Journal of Physical Oceanography, vol. 43, no. 8, pp. 1611–1626, Aug. 2013

[5] J. Wang, L.-L. Fu, H. S. Torres, S. Chen, B. Qiu, and D. Menemenlis, "On the Spatial Scales to be Resolved by the Surface Water and Ocean Topography Ka-Band Radar Interferometer," Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, vol. 36, no. 1, pp. 87–99, Jan. 2019, doi: 10.1175/JTECH-D-18-0119.1



www.legos.obs-mip.fr



contact : elisa.carli@univ-tlse3.fr





